

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 (WWW.Uspfo.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,077	01/31/2002	Sten Frolich	ANO 6187 US1/3161CIP	4735
7590 10/15/2004  LAINIE E. PARKER  AKZO NOBEL INC.			EXAMINER	
			CHIN, PETER	
7 LIVINGSTO	NE AVENUE		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DOBBS FERR	Y, NY 10522-3408		1731	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/066,077	FROLICH ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter Chin	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFF f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply content to the mail of the same than three months after the mail.	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension or in the final Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 September 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would lead canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) will not be entered or b) will not be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered and an vor appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement  0. Other:	(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Elect,			
Petent and Trademark Off		Peter Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Begala '062 disclose polysulfonated styrene, a vinyl polymer, col. 7, lines.7-12. There is no showing of any criticality as to the anionic vinyl monomer. The only disclosure is to sulfonated polystyrene but no showing has made that would support unexpected properties over other anionic polymers such as anionic condensation polymers. At best, the examples are limited to the sulfonated polystyrene and the present indendent claim are not so limite